

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Acacia Media Technologies Corp.,

NO. C 05-01114

Plaintiff,

**ORDER REQUIRING ADDITIONAL
BRIEFING BEFORE THE FEBRUARY 24,
2006 HEARING DATE**

vs.

New Destiny Internet Group, et al.,

Defendants.

And All Related and/or Consolidated
Actions.
_____ /

In this MDL litigation, Plaintiff Acacia Media Technologies has filed a "Motion for Entry of Judgment of NonInfringement and Invalidity for Indefiniteness of U.S. Patent No. 6,144,702 and Certification Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ P. 54(b)." The Motion is scheduled to be heard on **February 24, 2006 at 9 a.m.**

To date the Court has not received any response by any defendant to the motion. On before **February 13, 2006**, the Court directs each party to file and served a Memorandum of Law addressing the following issues:

1 1. Whether the Court should regard the pending motion as one in which Acacia is moving
2 for partial summary judgment against Acacia and in favor of all Defendants on all claims of
3 infringement of the '707 patent on the following ground: Based on the Court's December 7, 2005
4 Order, the affirmative defense of invalidity is sustained as a matter of law;


5 2. Whether the Court should also regard the pending motion as one in which Acacia is
6 moving for partial summary judgment against Acacia and in favor of all Defendants on the ground
7 that the accused products of the Defendants do not infringe the '702 patent. The Court notes that it
8 has not adjudicated what are the accused products or whether they infringe the '702 patent.
9 However, the pending motion is one seeking a partial summary judgment of "noninfringement."
10 The Court directs the parties to address any ground for judgment of noninfringement of the '702
11 patent;

12 3. In view of the pending motion to separate further litigation of the '702 patent from all
13 other patents, the Court directs each party to state that party's position with respect to whether any of
14 the issues involved in the '702 patent are present in any of the other patents involved in the MDL
15 case such that the appellate court will not be required to decide the same issues more than once if
16 there are subsequent appeals.

17 Immediately following the hearing on the pending motion, the Court will conduct a Case
18 Management Conference to establish a schedule for further proceedings in the case. On or before
19 **February 17, 2006** the parties shall file a Further Case Management Statement which combines
20 their respective positions with respect to what claims must be construed and a schedule for those
21 proceedings. The parties are directed to confer with one another to coordinate the submission of the
22 Further Case Management Statement.

23 Pursuant to General Order 45.VI.G., a paper copy of all the e-filed submissions must be
24 lodged with the Chambers of Judge Ware.

25
26 Dated: February 3, 2006



JAMES WARE
United States District Judge

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

2 Alan P. Block blocka@hbdlawyers.com
3 Alfredo A. Bismonte abismonte@mount.com
4 Annamarie A. Daley aadaley@rkmc.com
5 Bobby T. Shih bshih@mount.com
6 Bradford P. Lyerla blyerla@marshallip.com
7 Daniel Harlan Fingerman dfingerman@mount.com
8 Daralyn J. Durie djd@kvn.com
9 David A. York david.york@lw.com
10 David J. Silbert djs@kvn.com
11 David P. Pearson dpearson@winthrop.com
12 Emmett J. McMahon ejmcmahon@rkmc.com
13 Harold J. McElhinny HmcElhinny@mofo.com
14 J. Timothy Nardell EfilingJTN@cpdb.com
15 James Michael Slominski jslominski@hh.com
16 Jan J. Klohonatz jklohonatz@tcolaw.com
17 Jason A. Crotty jcrotty@mofo.com
18 Jeffrey D. Sullivan jeffrey.sullivan@bakerbotts.com
19 Jeffrey H. Dean jdean@marshallip.com
20 Jonathan E. Singer singer@fr.com
21 Juanita R. Brooks brooks@fr.com
22 Kevin D. Hogg khogg@marshallip.com
23 Kevin I. Shenkman shenkman@hbdlawyers.com
24 Maria K. Nelson mknelson@jonesday.com
25 Marsha Ellen Mullin memullin@jonesday.com
26 Michael J. McNamara michael.mcnamara@bakerbotts.com
27 Mitchell D. Lukin mitch.lukin@bakerbotts.com
28 Morgan William Tovey mtovey@reedsmith.com
Patrick J. Whalen pwhalen@spencerfane.com
Paul A. Friedman pafriedman@mofo.com
Rachel Krevans rkrevans@mofo.com
Richard R. Patch rrp@cpdb.com
Robert F. Copple rcopple@lrlaw.com
Roderick G. Dorman dormanr@hbdlawyers.com
Sean David Garrison sgarrison@lrlaw.com
Stephen E. Taylor staylor@tcolaw.com
Stephen P. Safranski spsafranski@rkmc.com
Todd Glen Miller miller@fr.com
Todd R. Tucker ttucker@rennerotto.com
Victor de Gyarfas vdegyarfas@foley.com
Victor George Savikas vgsavikas@jonesday.com
William J. Robinson wrobinson@foley.com
William R. Overend woverend@reedsmith.com
William R. Woodford woodford@fr.com

24 Dated: February 3, 2006

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

26 By: /s/ JW Chambers
27 Melissa Peralta
28 Courtroom Deputy